

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/651,797		08/30/2000	Wolfgang Peter	00-0542	2085
30996	7590	08/20/2003			••
ROBERT W. BECKER & ASSOCIATES				EXAMINER	
707 HIGHW SUITE B	AY 66 E	AST	IP, SIKYIN		
TIJERAS, N	TIJERAS, NM 87059			ADTIVITATION	B. 1000 140 (000
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	1742	m
				DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	(1/1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY, DOCKET NO EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

10 DATE MAILED:

	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS							
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY							
<b>\( </b>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{1(13/03)}{4(30/03)}$							
	This action is FINAL.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
whi the	nortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 nortened statutory period for response to this communication. Failure to respond within the period application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 36(a).	nonth(s), or thirty days, d for response will cause r the provisions of 37 CFR						
Dis	position of Claims							
₽	Claim(s) $1 - 20$	in large manufilms in the counting start						
ت	Claim(s) 1-20 Of the above, claim(s) 1-5 is/a	_is/are pending in the application.						
	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
$\square$	Claim(s) 6 - 20	is/are rejected.						
님	Ciain(s)	is/are objected to.						
Ш	Claim(s)are subject to	restriction or election requirement.						
App	lication Papers							
	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the The proposed drawing correction, filed on is [ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	Examiner. approved disapproved.						
	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
	All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been							
	received.							
	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*(	Certified copies not received:							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Atta	chment(s)							
๗	Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892							
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
	Interview Summary, PTO-413							
	•							
	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152							
	-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOIL OWING PAGES							

.' Serial No: 09/651,797 -2-

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims 1-5 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 2. Applicant's election of Group II, claims 6-16, in Paper No. 7, filed January 13, 2003, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the

Šerial No: 09/651,797

Art Unit: 1742

obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over G9400222.3 (PTO-1449, 1) in view of USP 4653732 to Wunning (reference of record).
- G9400222.3 in figures 1 and 2 disclose a cooling chamber with nozzle plate 6. (10) and cooling plate (11) are formed to a contour of workpiece loads such as a tunnel (page 4, second full paragraph of the translation). The plates can be lowered onto the workpieces positioned in the cooling chamber (page 4, last paragraph). The plate arrangements provide uniform cooling which reads on laminar cooling. The difference between the reference(s) and the claims are as follows: G9400222.3 does not disclose a closed lateral surface. However, Wunning in col. 3, lines 25-28 disclose(s) cooling plates/side plates of G9400222.3 could be replaced by blank plates which have a closed lateral surface for throughflow type cooling in the same field of endeavor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of the cited references at the time the invention was made to replace side plates with blank plates as taught by Wunning for throughflow type cooling. In re Venner, 120 USPQ 193 (CCPA 1958), In re LaVerne, et al., 108 USPQ 335, and In re Aller, et al., 105 USPQ 233.

Serial No: 09/651,797

Art Unit: 1742

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121.

#### Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (703) 308-2542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (703)-308-1146.

The facsimile phone number for this Art Unit 1742 are (703) 305-3601 (Official Paper only) and (703) 305-7719 (Unofficial Paper only). When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

7

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

**S. Ip** July 14, 2003